CHARTER REVISION COMMISSION

Citywide Strategic Planning Subcommittee

DATE: Friday, November 1, 2019

TIME: 11:38 a.m. - 12:49 p.m.

PLACE: Don Davis Room

First Floor

City Hall at St. James Building

117 West Duval Street

Jacksonville, Florida 32202

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Betzy Santiago, Board Member Chris Hagan, Board Member Emily Lisska, Board Member Nick Howland, Board Member

ALSO PRESENT:

CRC Staff:

Jessica Matthews, Chief of Legislative
Services
Jessica Smith, Legislative Assistant
Melanie Wilkes, Information Systems
Administrator
Jeff Clements, Chief of Research
Paige Johnston, Office of General Counsel

Τ	PROCEEDINGS
2	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: All right.
3	Well, thank you, everybody, for being here.
4	This is, of course, our first subcommittee
5	meeting. And what I wanted to go through is
6	maybe just introduce ourselves again.
7	MR. CLEMENTS: Pull them up close.
8	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Just a quick
9	introduction in how you think you would
10	better fit into the strategic planning and
11	the initiatives that we've been asked to do.
12	So we'll start with Emily.
13	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Oh, Lord excuse
14	me.
15	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Ms. Lisska.
16	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: This is Emily
17	Lisska. Hello, good morning, Betzy. Good
18	morning, Commission Members.
19	Well, I have reviewed again the items
20	that fall under strategic planning. And, of
21	course, at this point what I'd like to do is
22	attempt to plan the rest of our meeting
23	times. I mean, for me, that would be the
24	most effective. I already see where you
25	have we have a lot of you know, we

1	have members who can't attend certain
2	sessions in this setup. But I realize it's
3	going to be difficult because staff is
4	stretched so thin right now. But I would
5	like to try to accomplish that at the start
6	of this meeting.
7	As far as organizing this, I was
8	Betzy, you're a just an exemplary
9	organizer. So I was thinking that you were
10	going to have some very specific suggestions
11	about that. And I am very interested in
12	hearing what they are. And I will certainly
13	chime in.
14	But I have gone through the different
15	points. I don't envision that's where we'll
16	stay exactly; I think we might get outside
17	of that. But we were invited to do so if we
18	felt it was necessary.
19	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Very good. And
20	we'll go into I want to have a discussion
21	and just hear your perspective as well.
22	But, Commissioner Howland.
23	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: Sure. Nick
24	Howland. Thirty seconds of background:
25	I've been living here for almost 14 years,

1	been in the manufacturing community, run a
2	number of companies in the area. So I was
3	on FCMA Board for eight years, I think,
4	First Coast Manufacturing Association; also
5	the Environmental Protection Board, as well
6	And I ran for school board at one point.
7	To me, two of the biggest issues in the
8	city that are affecting attracting
9	businesses to the town are crime and
10	education. So those have been my focus so
11	far in the Charter Revision Commission. I
12	think the Strategic Planning Commission has
13	a potential to help resolve or not

I also think we have good political will to potentially get something like this passed. It came up ten years ago in the Charter Revision Commission. It was a focus in the Blueprint For Improvement II, in the Task Force For Consolidation. So I think we have a great opportunity to propose something, potentially even up to you, Madam

resolve, but improve in both those areas.

And that's why I asked to be on this

particular commission.

Chair, but potentially something related to

1	the task force recommendation that will
2	probably get heard, debated and potentially
3	passed. So I'm excited about this. Thank
4	you.
5	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you.
6	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Thank you, Madam
7	Chair.
8	Chris Hagan. And I decided to be on
9	this subcommittee, it was one of the three
10	that I thought was most important that we
11	addressed in the Charter Revision. I've
12	been involved with City government for a
13	long time, all my professional career, so
14	just really excited about this.
15	The one thing that I would like to do is
16	there is probably one or two meetings I'm
17	not going to be able to make, depending upon
18	how we plan all this out. So we can
19	identify subjects I'm sure you're
20	planning on doing this, but I just want to
21	mention it subjects that we would try to
22	address for each one of those meetings so
23	that, if I can't be at one and I know about
24	it, then I can hopefully, it's something
25	that's not very too passionate to my

1	heart,	but	just	kind	of	how	we	can	identify
2	those	as we	move	e forv	varo	d.			

COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Okay. Very good. Thank you so much.

2.2

And, for me, it's a pleasure for me to be on this committee as well. I think we've got a lot that we can draw upon from what's already been done, but I'm also excited to see what new things come out of this. So I wanted to move into our next point, which was just kind of sharing with you my thoughts of how I would like to move forward.

I think one of the items that, to your point, is moving forward on meeting dates. How often do we want to meet? What times?

I know that we have been assigned to either a Thursday or a Friday. And I think most of that will go through our Council aides, with Jessica, so -- or through her office, I should say. So if there are any dates that you can't attend, either on a Thursday or a Friday, if you'll just go ahead and let us know about that right upfront so that we can move forward.

1 The -- yes, ma'am.

2.2

of this Commission, I really tried to take care of letting staff know dates I can't attend, things like that. I don't see where it made a dramatic difference this last past time by doing that. In all due respect to -- I just can't even imagine what staff is going through right now. You have to know you've got my sympathy.

But it would be really -- and I think I was the only one on one of the dates, which is November 15th, I believe. But I hate, hate, hate missing. I mean, calling in is not an option for me because I will be in the friendly skies. So I know I can't do that.

And I will, as you said, read

transcripts. I can follow it, see it later.

But it's just difficult. And that sounds

like at that point that's probably going to

be a very important meeting, once we get a

couple meetings under our belt. That's all.

I just want to say it would be great. I

hope we have everybody else's dates exact,

1	because it looks like everybody else can be
2	there that date.
3	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: On the 15th?
4	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Sorry, Madam
5	Chair, I will note to you staff wants to
6	speak, since she's behind you sort of.
7	MS. MATTHEWS: So I wanted to provide
8	you Commissioner Brock's email. He said he
9	would like to have the final recommendations
10	from the subcommittees presented at the
11	February 28 meeting so we have March to
12	assemble the final Commission report. So
13	his intent is for, obviously, you guys to
14	meet weekly, if possible, and have a summary
15	every time at the end of the month by for
16	November 22nd, so when you guys all meet as
17	a whole.
18	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Okay. That's
19	doable.
20	If we'll just send in our dates. I
21	think what we had talked about was Thursdays
22	or Fridays was the two dates that we were
23	going to try to do. And my hope is that we
24	can get at least four out of the five of us
25	here for each one of those meetings. If we

1	can do five, then that would be even better,
2	but if we can at least do four.
3	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: If I may, my
4	preference is always Fridays because I'm out
5	of town a lot Monday through Thursday, which
6	is why it's worked out so well for me
7	lately.
8	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: All right.
9	Thank you, Jessica.
10	Okay. As far as dates, that was the
11	first thing that I wanted to talk about.
12	The second thing that I wanted to kind of go
13	into and dive into today was the what
14	we've been asked to cover. So the subtopics
15	that were on our initial list was quite
16	hefty, but at the same token I want to know
17	if there is anything else that we've missed,
18	because even when I was reviewing the
19	recommendations, the last recommendations
20	that were made, there were other areas that
21	were covered as well.
22	Part of our next discussion, what I'd
23	like to do is go through what was on the
24	topic sheet that we got from the full
25	Commission. And then also start thinking

1 about who would be a good person to speak on 2 those issues. So whoever wants to go first. 3 COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Well, I have an 4 inquiry. 5 COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Yes, ma'am. COMMISSIONER LISSKA: I want to make 6 sure that -- well, I'd like to have someone 7 8 here from City Council to -- or the best 9 person representing City Council, if not 10 someone from City Council, to talk about the 11 City Council strategic plan, a little bit of 12 the history of that, et cetera, et cetera. 13 I was looking for some of the 14 legislation on that. I mean, I'm sorry I 15 used indexes and things, so I probably 16 didn't look hard enough. But we might need 17 to take a look at that as well, you know, 18 probably, I guess, an ordinance form 19 certainly, yeah. So I looked in my related 20 laws. And it might be there glaring at me. 21 So, anyway, so I would think that's the 2.2 first thing to do, assuming everybody on 23 this Committee wants to delve into that 24 area. And since our area is citywide 25 strategic planning, I'm assuming they want

1	to. So that is one.
2	As far as function of nonprofits with
3	consolidation government, we would certainly
4	want to hear from someone from the Cultural
5	Council, either their director or one of the
6	principals who have been there a long time.
7	Amy Palmer is certainly a good one; if not,
8	their executive director.
9	And then I would say perhaps we want to
LO	hear from I have just blanked her name.
11	The head of the northeast Nonprofit
12	Center, everybody knows.
13	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Rena.
L 4	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Rena, thank you
L5	very much. I'm sure we would like to hear
L 6	Rena.
L7	MR. CLEMENTS: Rena Coughlin.
18	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: And I am not sure
L 9	that this is an area that and I here I
20	come from a nonprofit background and I'm
21	even saying I'm not really certain what we
22	can do within the Charter in this area, but
23	it would certainly be good to hear from them
24	and pursue it.

As I recall, this suggestion came from,

25

I believe, just one Commission member. And there was not much added to that one suggestion. So -- but I'd like to take a look at it. I'm not quite sure how it would affect the Charter beyond we already have a public service line in the budget, in our City budget, traditionally, not required to my knowledge, and that maybe is what we want to look at. We have a public service line. And we also have the Cultural Council line within the budget, which actually increased this year.

2.2

So I don't know what's related to our

Charter or City government beyond that, and
I don't even know if it's mentioned -- and I

apologize for not researching better for

this meeting -- in the Charter in any way,

maybe -- you know, maybe we can find out

more from Council on that. I'm sure we can.

So those are two issues right now that I think would be very easy to explore with some people coming before us. And I hope when they're asked, they're asked in light of what maybe could be done via the Charter. And that might be a little unfair to these

1	people, because maybe the Charter is not
2	where it's at for them, maybe it's the
3	budget, but, yes no, I'm sorry.
4	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Mr. Clements.
5	MR. CLEMENTS: I was going to ask
6	Ms. Lisska, back to the City Council
7	strategic plan, were you looking for the
8	authorization to do it or the whatever
9	the authorization is under which the process
10	is done? Is that what you're looking for?
11	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: I'm looking for
12	that, which I assume is through the Council,
13	and then the actual written language of any
14	authorization, which I'm going to assume is
15	in ordinance form, but I don't know that.
16	MR. CLEMENTS: It's a resolution, it's
17	adopted by resolution of the Council when
18	they finish with their strategic planning
19	process. And the process itself is not in
20	the Charter or the code. It's just
21	something that Council President Bowman
22	decided he wanted to do, and he did it last
23	year. And then when President Wilson came
24	along, he thought it was a good idea, so
25	they just did it again.

1	I mean, previously they did it three or
2	four years back in the maybe early 2000s and
3	then gave up on it. So it kind of comes and
4	goes.
5	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: And if I may
6	respond, and I think that is what
7	Commissioner Howland was trying to tell us
8	earlier; thus, it was in those
9	recommendations. It's not apparently you
10	know, it's not in the Charter. So I'm sorry
11	I didn't make the leap. I apologize.
12	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: No. This is
13	good.
14	So maybe we can get a copy of that
15	strategic plan. And I'm wondering if the
16	City Council person that should come or
17	not City Council. Would it be how do you
18	feel about having Dawn Lockhart come talk to
19	us? Because her role is Director of
20	Strategic Partnerships for the Mayor's
21	Office. Would that be somebody that you
22	think could speak to that?
23	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: For sure, yeah.
24	MR. CLEMENTS: If you're interested in
25	the Council's strategic planning process,

1	you would need to talk with Council
2	Member I would recommend Councilman
3	Bowman, whose idea it was.
4	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: I'm sure he'd
5	love to come talk about it.
6	MR. CLEMENTS: The way it's ended up
7	being transmitted to the Administration, at
8	least in the first year that they did it,
9	was, when Mr. Bowman was the President, they
10	came up with a list of their one-year,
11	three-year, and five-year plans. And then
12	he went and talked to the Mayor or to his
13	Chief of Staff, and basically got a
14	gentlemen's agreement that the Mayor would
15	put \$5 million in the budget to fund what
16	the Council had chosen as its priorities.
17	So that was just sort of an informal they
18	got together and hashed it out.
19	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Okay. So it
20	sounds like perhaps part of our
21	recommendation perhaps would be to somehow
22	include this as part of the Charter. Is
23	that what I'm hearing?
24	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Perhaps, yes. And
25	it was a very solid recommendation that's

1	still out there that's never been
2	implemented, so, yes.
3	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you.
4	Mr. Howland.
5	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: Madam Chair, my
6	suggestion for potential speakers would be
7	building on that Blueprint For Improvement
8	II, would be former-Councilwoman Lori Boyer
9	for sure, maybe one of the earlier ones.
10	And then also heavily involved with her was
11	former-Councilman Bill Gulliford. I think
12	both of them would probably be really handy
13	in talking about what we could do to create
14	an effective Strategic Planning Commission.
15	And then, as we just talked about,
16	Councilman Bowman, I know former-Council
17	President Bowman would for sure. I think
18	this is something that matters to him and
19	would help.
20	And, finally, we all read the book. I'm
21	sure Chris Hand would have great
22	recommendations for us.
23	MS. MATTHEWS: Yeah. I'm already having
24	to reach out to him.
25	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: So definitely

1	Boyer, Gulliford, Bowman and
2	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Just have him
3	here for the whole day.
4	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Madam Chair, I
5	think he's going to tell us to read the
6	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: The Blueprint.
7	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: That, plus the
8	chapter in his book, if we haven't done it.
9	We all got it.
10	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: And I think back
11	to what our Chairman also says, you know, if
12	we want to have independent meetings on our
13	own, as well, I think that's definitely a
14	portion that we can incorporate and bring
15	back whatever information they shared with
16	us. That sometimes makes it easier for them
17	to participate as well.
18	Mr. Howland, anything else?
19	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: No, ma'am.
20	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Okay.
21	Mr. Hagan.
22	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Thank you, Madam
23	Chair.
24	So some of the items, when I go over the
25	topics, that really stick out to me, are

infrastructure, active strategic planning
commission, prioritizing waterways,
functions of independent authorities, and
quarterly meetings with community liaisons.

2.2

Let me start with the last one first,
the quarterly meetings with community
leaders. I think we're talking about the
administration -- yeah, we are talking about
the administration. I don't know if this is
something that we necessarily have to put
into the Charter. I think it's good
practice, and I believe that our Mayor is
doing that. So I don't know that I would
want to, you know -- if it bogs the Charter
down by putting something like this in
there. But I'm open to having the
conversation, and maybe it just looks
different than the way I'm kind of
perceiving it.

As I jump up to the top, infrastructure, I think, is a huge need right now. I've spoken to a lot of builders around the city that are doing lots of record building -- or infill building, and I think that's a big need for the City of Jacksonville. We're so

spread out that developers love to build way

outside of the core. And you have a handful

of builders that want to build on these

small infill lots.

2.2

And it also helps lower -- lower communities, higher crime-stricken communities that you can put -- you know, what they're doing, they're taking, let's say, three lots. And they'll be lots of record. And they will go and build houses in them. The problem that they're running into is that the infrastructure in the ground is not there to meet the lots of record. And so they run into issues. And then the cost of doing infill development, or infill building, it skyrockets. And it just doesn't make sense, so they don't do it.

So -- and it all falls back on infrastructure. So I think that I would like to go into that. And I think a good person to come and talk about that is John Pappas, and then maybe someone from JEA. What is his name? Deryle, head of water, wastewater, I can't think of his last name.

But he would be a good one. I'll come up with that name and contact you, and you can get that to Jessica.

2.2

The Active Strategic Planning

Commission. One thing I always kind of

thought about that, as this Body starts

diving into the issues, I think it's very

easy to see what's happening right now, and

take a quick snapshot of what's going on,

and then put all of our focus on that. And

that's very easy to do and very difficult to

take ourselves out of that.

But this is a -- in my opinion, a

ten-year snapshot of what's happening, not a

six-month or a one-year snapshot. So going

back to this, you know, maybe it's an active

planning commission, maybe it's something

that is a group that meets more often than

the every ten years. And so they're coming

up with ideas that are happening then, and

they're pulling out, hey, this happened in

two years, and then you have a five-year -
you know, five groups, and they bring it all

to the ten-year Charter Revision meeting.

And then that's the kind of items that we

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1	focus on. So it's just a structured thing.
2	I don't know if it's
3	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Who do you think
4	could speak to that? Who would be a good
5	person? Or a good group?
6	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: I don't know. I
7	just come up with the ideas and let
8	everybody else figure out how to make
9	sausage out of them. I really don't know.
10	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Madam Chair, I
11	might suggest and I think I'm I'm not
12	reading off your paper now, but perhaps
13	former-Council President Bill Gulliford
14	would be a great person for many reasons,
15	probably why you have him on your sheet,
16	plus what you're just talking about now. I
17	mean, if not for him, it's Councilwoman
18	Boyer, I might suggest. I mean, there are
19	others, but I'm thinking of those two
20	because they've worked on an ongoing basis
21	on problems they see out there.
22	I mean, you know you know, now
23	even though Mr. Gulliford is no longer part
24	of City government directly, you know, he
25	has left quite a legacy in planning. So I

1 think --

2.2

COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Yeah, those two would be great. It's just someone that has that institutional knowledge and has really gotten into consolidation and things like that.

The way I was thinking of it is maybe -let's say the Mayor has an active commission
that he appoints. And they go through the
items just like you have a planning
commission or a -- you know, any other thing
that the Mayor would appoint to. So,
anyway, that's just kind of thought. As we
dig into it deeper, I'm sure it will make a
lot more sense than what I'm coming up with.

Waterways and activation of waterways is a big thing. Of course, obviously, we've mentioned her name several times, so we might let her come in here and set up a tent in our meetings, but Lori Boyer would be phenomenal with that. And as she takes her position as DIA, and there's a lot of that -- you know, a lot of those areas we're building out in the city that really are building out along the riverfront. So she's

taking an active role as the DIA chair and also activating the waterfront. She would be great for that as well.

2.2

COMMISSIONER LISSKA: May I suggest two other people? Both Quint White and Lisa Rinaman. And there are others, you know, associated with this issue, but that -- and also I have a question for Commissioner Hagan.

I'm wondering if you would be more specific. When you were talking about the problems the developers and builders face, would you be more specific about some -- you were talking about infrastructure, et cetera. Is it what's on our sheet, which is dealing with -- you know, it doesn't say water here, but City water, septic, or is it something else entirely, or is that part of it?

COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Yes. Let me just pull mine up. Yes, so all I saw was infrastructure. And so when I -- more specifically, when they go into these -- so I don't really want to target JEA on this, but they'll have issues where they'll go and

they'll have three lots of records and there is one house on it. And it's a dilapidated house, run down. So they buy the house with the three lots on it, they tear the house down and then they put three houses on it because they're lots of record.

2.2

So the City of Jacksonville, before consolidation, should have been planning on upsizing the pipes and everything and the infrastructure in the ground to -- for those three lots of record and not just the one.

But what's happened is they've done it for the one, because that's all that was there.

And so I don't know that it was a bad plan back then, it's just we're affected by it today.

And so when you go in there and put
these, you know, brand new houses in there
that are more affordable, it just doesn't
make sense for the builders to build them,
because now they have to go in there and
they're being responsible for upsizing the
pipe. I guess that's what I'm missing.
They're being held responsible for upsizing
the pipe because they're affecting what's in

L	the	ground	now.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER LISSKA: And any other
3 connections, the cost of all the connections
4 and everything?

5 COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Correct.

COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Yeah, because one of the experiences I recently heard of: Brand new house, built in what I would say is not way out of the core, I mean, it's not -- I mean, maybe we need to define the core, that would be really helpful. And I didn't get to attend the meeting this morning where likely they have -- I think they actually -- I think they did define the core as what was -- what were the city limits prior to consolidation. So this was outside of that, this one situation, but not far out. They had brand new houses being built, and they're still not running sewer and water to them. And I'm just thinking, my gosh, I mean, the problems we're going to continue to have from the wells, the septic tanks. And I feel so terribly sorry for the people in the urban core still facing these problems and in some cases, you know, it's

1 creating sewage situations within our city. 2 COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Absolutely. We're 3 creating problems for our future. I think a great example of this that I've seen that we 4 5 can all attest to is Springfield. 6 Springfield is really starting to take off, 7 it's going in the right direction. But it's 8 being hindered by the infrastructure needs 9 that are there now and what the builders 10 need to move forward to build these 11 beautiful new -- I mean, there are houses in 12 there that are 6-, \$700,000 homes that are 13 just absolutely beautiful, but it doesn't 14 make sense for the builders to really go in 15 there, because now they're going to build 16 this beautiful house. And then they're 17 going to have the additional cost of putting 18 the upgraded pipes in the roads. And these 19 costs just get crazy. And you can't sell a 20 house for a million dollars, but 700 maybe. COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Could we have 21 2.2 maybe the builders or developers come and 23 talk to us about the problems they're 24 having? Perhaps city services are or are 25

not set up to help develop the core of our

1 city.

2.2

2 COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Yeah. I know Alex
3 Sifakis, with JWB, has been very active. I
4 can kind of -- I can get his contact to
5 Jessica and then she can reach out to him.
6 I think he would be a great advocate for
7 this position.

And the last one I'll bring up is function of independent authorities. I don't know who can speak on that, probably each one of the representatives, invite them back out to speak on those. And just make sure -- I think that we all heard that -- at least that I heard a lot of them said, hey, everything is working, don't mess with it now; we're just going to keep going down this track. But I don't know -- I missed the Port, but I heard the Aviation was like that, Transportation.

COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: I think the challenge is what they have been delivering to us is kind of an update as to where they are. And what we really need is what do you need us to put in the Charter from a structural perspective, so not -- and I

1	think that's really the challenge with all
2	of this, right. We're looking at all these
3	issues and it's really it's an issue of
4	what do we put back in the Charter? How do
5	we change? And how are we looking forward
6	for ten years down the road? What is the
7	structure that we're trying to put together?
8	So along those lines, I would like to
9	know what the group thinks about, from an
10	infrastructure and development stance, would
11	it be appropriate to have, like, the
12	Builders Association or maybe an LUZ or
13	maybe a City planner? Who do you think
14	could talk to us from that perspective?
15	Would it be Waste and Water?
16	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: I think if you had
17	John Pappas from Public Works and you had
18	Bill Killingsworth from Planning, the
19	Planning side of it, I think if you had
20	those two come in or someone in that
21	department come in that really could speak
22	on infrastructure needs, I think that you
23	would really drill down on the where we
24	are, where we sit and where we need to go.
25	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Do you think

1	they could also speak to septic tanks?
2	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Absolutely.
3	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Or do we need a
4	separate speaker for that?
5	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: Through the
6	Chair, do we actually need to discuss all
7	those issues in detail? Because I know
8	there are sub-bullets under our remit, but
9	our remit is to figure out how a Strategic
10	Planning Commission might be structured into
11	the Charter, or if we don't want to
12	structure a Strategic Planning Commission in
13	the Charter, rather than solve the septic
14	tank issue or improve education or
15	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: I disagree with
16	the Commissioner.
17	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: Because, you
18	know, what the rest of the CRC voted on was
19	a strategic planning exploring the
20	possibility of a recommendation to the
21	Charter for Strategic Planning Commission.
22	And that Strategic Planning Commission in
23	and of itself will consist of people like
24	the probably the head of Public Works in
25	the Mayor's Office and some of the

independent authorities who can pursue their plan for improvement in their area in conjunction with a broader strategic goal, rather than us on this Commission trying to solve those individual issues. I think our remit is -- and if there is disagreement -- it's to create that strategic planning structure to enable a coordinated approach to solving issues from all our various independent authorities within the consolidated government.

2.2

COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Ms. Lisska.

COMMISSIONER LISSKA: And I see it being broader than you do. For example, you know, clearly, the Charter is the place to -- I'm not sure about addressing -- it could be to address waterways and access, certainly it could be, but with things like city water, fresh city water getting to us -- we hope it's fresh -- and septic tanks -- well, not septic tanks so much as, you know, what I might envision the Charter saying -- you know, obviously, making up is fine -- that a Jacksonville Electric Authority, assuming it exists, a Jacksonville Electric Authority

would be responsible for waste removal from
all residential facilities in Jacksonville,

Florida. I mean, that would clearly be a

Charter -- could be a Charter issue. That's

not outside the purview of the Charter. It

might be outside the purview of budgeting,

that's another story.

2.2

But -- so, I mean, clearly, if you read the Charter right now, it is just filled with detail on what the Jacksonville Electric Authority is required to do for the City.

I will review to see if it is that specific. I mean, obviously, electric service, but they took over these other services. And there is a paper trail on what they thought they should do versus now what they will do. And I know it's a money issue. I don't really think it's we just don't want to do that. I think it's incredible amounts of money. So I don't think that's outside of our purview.

And when it comes to strategic planning, to me, this is one of the biggest problems facing our city. And we did hear from a lot

1	of citizens. And Mr. Gentry, as I recall,
2	and I don't want to put words in his mouth,
3	he was very interested in looking at some
4	sort of planning for our waterways. And I
5	think he specifically mentioned the
6	St. Johns, but he can weigh in for himself.
7	I just don't see that outside our purview.
8	I do understand that you're trying, I
9	think, and correct me if I'm wrong, to make
10	sure we don't get out of our assignment of
11	just dealing with the Charter. Like, you
12	know, do we really think we're solving all
13	the City's issues here. And I sympathize
14	with that, and I'm on your side there.
15	So and I think that people like you would
16	rein me in if I went outside that.
17	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: So along those
18	lines, I guess my next question then is
19	there were a lot of different bullet points
20	on what the full Commission talked about.
21	Are there any areas that you in looking
22	at this original list, that we should stay
23	away from or any items that we should add
24	to?
25	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Are you referring

1	outside of what we're tasked with?
2	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Just from the
3	Commission topics that we were handed before
4	where we ranked them before, under G, there
5	were several bullet points. There was,
6	what, about seven, eight different bullet
7	points. Is there anything on there that you
8	do not want to discuss or an area that you
9	don't want to get into, or perhaps was there
10	something that we missed that we should have
11	added to this that you thought of since
12	then?
13	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: The only thing that
14	I and I think I already mentioned it, was
15	the quarterly meetings with community
16	leaders. Again, I think that is a good
17	practice. I don't know that it's something
18	we should be looking to try to put that in
19	the Charter. I just I think it's a great
20	idea. I think it's a great idea. But I
21	just don't know where you would find a place
22	for that in the Charter. I'm sure
23	Ms. Lisska will tell you if I'm wrong.
24	That's just something you know, we
25	can shave stuff off of this and then really

1	drill down into more specific topics, that
2	would be one I would say, great idea, but
3	maybe not a Charter idea.
4	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you.
5	Mr. Howland.
6	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: No, I can't think
7	of anything that we would need to shave. I
8	mean, looking specifically at the fifth
9	bullet is where I want to prioritize.
10	Again, you can tell where I'm trying to
11	narrow the scope a little bit. But I'm up
12	for constructive debate on how we shape, how
13	we look at this subcommittee moving forward
14	and getting to a recommendation by
15	February 28th.
16	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Ms. Lisska.
17	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Thank you.
18	Interesting, as I see, this really
19	dovetails number five, which is establish a
20	Strategic Planning Commission that convenes
21	every five years or whatever number is on
22	there, in an interesting way with the
23	government structure committee. I mean, it
24	really does. And I don't know at what
25	point I believe their first meeting is

1	November 8th perhaps. Or did I get that
2	wrong, the government structure?
3	MS. MATTHEWS: November 8th is their
4	first meeting.
5	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: I was going to try
6	to attend that. So I although I know
7	you'll post everything as soon as you can
8	after they meet. But I think it's going to
9	be interesting to see, because this really
10	is dealing with one of the issues. It's a
11	balance of power matter.
12	You know, a strategic if there were a
13	Strategic Planning Commission that was
14	mandated, it begins to do that, as does, you
15	know, requiring perhaps a plan. I'm not
16	sure that's what we would do or add it in
17	the Charter.
18	I think, you know, it's this
19	discussion it comes from this discussion
20	about, you know, at this point mayors in our
21	city and I think they still can do that,
22	it's the way our government is structured
23	they have a lot of power. They can use a
24	lot of vision when they walk into their
25	office to accomplish things perhaps that

1 could never have been accomplished before under our old methods of government before consolidation. And I don't know if a 3 Strategic Planning Commission is going to enhance it, curb it, contribute to it.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

It's interesting, but it is even more interesting to think that perhaps the government is functioning without a Strategic Planning Commission or plan. mean, it -- I don't know how it's going to go, where it's going to go, if it can be shot down at some -- I don't know. It would have to go -- well, it could go through one of two, it can go through, as I understand -- and is counsel in here? Because my question would be it can either go through the City Council and be adopted by City Council or it can be adopted by the state -- the state legislature can promote this, as I understand.

And I think it's a more powerful concept than we even realize as we sit here, but I'm not opposed to it. It's just suddenly we go from a system that -- we just go to a system that's a little bit more public and planned,

Τ	it appears.
2	Now, when you look I think the real
3	key is going to be the appointments, how
4	that is done. And I think that's and
5	that would be the one area when it talks
6	about citywide strategic planning, I think
7	that's really being taken up by the
8	Government Structure Committee. But
9	appointments, I think, are a very big deal.
10	All this ties in together so much, it's
11	almost unbelievable. I mean, it should be
12	dovetailing. So I do think we need to keep
13	up with the other committee.
14	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Mr. Hagan.
15	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: I think, to
16	Ms. Lisska's point, is that you really
17	have like, if you can create this
18	Strategic Planning Commission that we're
19	talking about, it's almost like what we're
20	doing now is maybe the work that this
21	Strategic Planning Commission should do
22	eventually, you know, that point. So I see
23	how you're talking about how it all
24	dovetails together. So I just want to add
25	that. It makes a lot of sense.

But, yeah, I think we should really focus on how that's created and then maybe it's a working group of, you know, 20 people and they're all derived from every part of the city, you know, all the authorities and that kind of stuff. But, anyway, we'll get deep into that later.

2.2

think that's a challenge that we're going to have is that there is a lot of different ideas of how to formulate this. And I think part of -- the biggest challenge that I've had with this Charter Revision is I want to get into the weeds and talk about who and what; when, in fact, really what we're here to do is really more structural and just say, it should be nine members and they should come from these groups. So I think keeping that in mind, that would be the biggest challenge that I would see.

For me, one of the things that I looked at was were we missing anybody in this conversation? Were there any businesses?

Were there any academic people? Did we need to talk to -- I don't think we had anybody

Ţ	here from a health care perspective. I
2	mean, are these other areas that we want to
3	include in the strategic plan or should
4	these be individual members that are perhaps
5	added as an advisory council or something to
6	that effect, and so we really don't need to
7	hear from them now? Perhaps it's just a
8	recommendation to add them as an advisory
9	Council. Who needs to be from your
10	perspective, who needs to be a part of the
11	actual committee that should make up the
12	strategic plan? And have we included all of
13	those in our request for speakers?
14	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: No, we haven't.
15	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: So who else
16	should we include?
17	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Definitely the
18	health department, because they were
19	summarily removed. I think they didn't make
20	the cut at all. And yet we see some of the
21	potentially biggest problems facing Duval
22	County, you know, in the health arena. And
23	there were numerous mentioned. I think that
24	was one of the most enlightening
25	presentations we received, because it's just

1	an area that, you know, doesn't always get
2	as much attention, it seems. But so I would
3	say, you know, issues of health and
4	wellbeing and
5	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: So who do you
6	think should come and speak to us from that
7	area? Because we've had Dr. Haley and we
8	had the health department as well. So
9	who
10	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: I think it's
11	important to have all the hospitals. You
12	know, we have gosh, we're probably made
13	up of four or five different hospitals.
14	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Five.
15	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Five hospitals. I
16	know that all but one is a tax-exempt
17	entity. And so then you have one that sits
18	out there and kind of is like, hey, I
19	support everything that's happening here,
20	but this is my perspective on it. And it
21	will be probably different than what UF
22	Health has to say about it or Baptist,
23	because Baptist and UF are kind of
24	structured the same, but they operate very
25	differently from an indigent care side of

Τ	things. And then you've got Memorial
2	Hospital, which is owned by HGA, which is a
3	for-profit entity.
4	And so I think we only heard from UF
5	Health, but I would love to hear from
6	Baptist, I would love to hear from Mayo
7	Clinic, I would love to hear from Memorial
8	Health Care or a representative from HGA,
9	because I think, again, it's going to be
10	very different.
11	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: So you want a
12	presentation from each hospital or do we
13	want a representative to represent all
14	hospitals?
15	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: I have to be
16	careful with that. Because if we're talking
17	about who should be on the strategic
18	planning group, I don't know. I don't know.
19	I would love to throw that out there and let
20	you chew it up.
21	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Is there one
22	person that can speak for the group?
23	Because right now we're just talking about
24	who do we want to come speak to this Body.
25	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: We've already

1 heard from the Health Department. Certainly 2 she was excellent and really gave a nice, 3 broad perspective. On the other hand, I'm trying to think then we did get the -- we 4 5 did realize that the hospitals would 6 occasionally meet, you know, and coordinate. 7 And I was just stunned by everything I 8 heard from both of those professionals, just 9 information I was completely unaware of and 10 really makes a difference in our city in 11 extraordinary ways or could be -- I mean, 12 it's just information that needs to -- the 13 administration needs to be aware of. And 14 I'm sure they are, but I'm saying in the 15 future, moving forward. And we can't be 16 assured of that unless there is some sort of 17 planning commission or committee that 18 exists. And so that's all, I just would 19 like to see representation with them. 20 If you want to hear from -- those two 21 were excellent, but it might be we want to 2.2 hear from others. And I'm not sure who to 23 suggest. 24 COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Mr. Howland. 25 COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: Well, I was

1	looking through the Task Force on
2	Consolidation, and they gave some
3	recommendation for who would be on the
4	Strategic Planning Commission. And one of
5	their ideas is that the commission itself be
6	representative of different folks in
7	government in Jacksonville, you know, for
8	example, independent authorities, School
9	Board, Mayor's Office, City Council, and
10	that there be an advisory committee of those
11	outside who would have concern, builders,
12	manufacturers, riverkeeper, something like
13	that. And so that was a recommendation.
14	But kind of a broader question, we have
15	a deliverable on the 28th of February, what
16	do we think that deliverable is going to
17	look like? Is it going to be a strategic
18	plan itself, or is it going to be a
19	recommendation of a Strategic Plan
20	Commission, or is it going to be something
21	that addresses each one of these
22	sub-bullets? What are you thinking?
23	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: So my idea for
24	this and I'd love to hear your input as
25	well is all we're doing is making a

1 recommendation as to the structure: so how 2 many members? Who is going -- who is going 3 to be part of it? How often should they meet? You know, is it an annual thing? Is 4 5 it every five years? And really thinking more -- I think part 6 7 of it needs to be funding. How is this 8 going to be funded? How is it going to be 9 staffed? Who is going to be a part of this? 10 So I think, from a Charter perspective, 11 that is really what I -- as I read the 12 Charter, that's what I see, is functionality 13 of this is the structure of how it should be 14 built, which is why I keep going back to the 15 question of, yes, we want to include the Health Department and something around 16 17 health. But is there one speaker that can 18 come and talk about, from a strategic 19 perspective -- because, yes, it's very

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

work.

But I don't need to hear the work that's being done at the hospital. I need to know what is on the horizon in health care that

hospitals, and they're all doing amazing

interesting what's happening at the

1 perhaps needs to tie back into it. Is there 2 a way for them to consolidate themselves and 3 send a speaker? Is there a new technology? Is there a number of hospitals? From a 4 5 strategic planning, is there an area of town that needs a new hospital? But I want them 6 7 to speak as a group and not necessarily as 8 an individual entity. So I don't know if 9 you all know of --10 COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: An association of 11 local hospitals? I don't know. 12 COMMISSIONER LISSKA: I really didn't 13 need anybody at all to come, because I 14 thought the two speakers we had already were 15 so outstanding. I wouldn't object to it, 16 because it always helps. 17 Because I do agree with you, Madam 18 Chair, I think that we simply are 19 constructing a statement for our Charter, a 20 piece of our Charter, that's all we're doing. And we don't need some of the 21 2.2 specifics that would be presented. And it 23 is, however, those specifics that would 24 convince me, we've already heard that would 25 convince me if there were a Strategic

1 Planning Commission established, someone in 2 the health arena and possibly a public 3 officer would need to sit on that Strategic Planning Commission. 4 5 So, I mean, I'm comfortable with what we've heard to date to know that -- you 6 know, and if you want more people to come, 7 8 it is extremely interesting, but I don't 9 know that it will help with our input either 10 for the purposes of the Charter. 11 COMMISSIONER HAGAN: The only thing I would add to that, if we invited the 12 13 hospitals to come and then understand their 14 dynamic and how they interact with each 15 other and then let them kind of say, hey, 16 we're working on structuring a Strategic 17 Planning Commission and having a 18 representative from the health care 19 community come in, what do you think -- you 20 know, could y'all send a person as one to 21 come speak to the group or be on the

2.2

23

24

25

That's the only thing I would say. Let

commission, or is it something where y'all

wouldn't work to have one representative.

have so many different agendas, that it just

1	them come in and do a five-to-ten-minute
2	presentation, here is how our hospital is
3	structured, here is the money that we
4	receive, you know, that kind of thing. So
5	it can educate us so we can make our
6	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: How do you feel
7	about maybe having them all come at one time
8	and maybe just do like a panel where we just
9	ask a couple of questions and let them
10	answer as a unified is that possible?
11	Can we do that, have them all speak at the
12	same time?
13	MS. MATTHEWS: At the same time?
14	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Kind of like a
15	panel so that we can ask questions.
16	MS. MATTHEWS: In this room? We have to
17	figure out something, I guess.
18	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: How do you feel
19	about that?
20	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Maybe let them have
21	a five-minute presentation where they give
22	their structure and allow us to pull them
23	back up and
24	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: All right. But
25	maybe we can invite them all for the same

Τ	aay.
2	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Yeah, try to get
3	them all on the same day.
4	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: So you're really
5	trying to find out if the private
6	or nonprofit hospitals because they're
7	both, Baptist has both entities, others do
8	too, part private, part profit, the way
9	they're structured if they want a role at
10	the table if anything like this
11	representation at such. And I get that.
12	I'm sorry I didn't see it that way. I think
13	that's a good idea.
14	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: I probably did a
15	bad job explaining myself. That's kind of
16	what because I don't know that I I
17	probably understand HGA's point of view
18	more, but I just don't know the rest of
19	them. Like Ascension, I don't know how
20	they're made up of structure. I know
21	they're going to want a seat at the table,
22	but I just don't know if they can say, you
23	know, hey, Leon Haley, go speak for all the
24	hospitals of Jacksonville. And I know
25	they're all friends, but I don't know that

1	they	have	everybody's	best	interest	at
2	heart	- .				

2.2

COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Sure. And maybe that can lead to our recommendation, as well, as to is it an advisory board of all -- with all the health care partners or is it somebody who is part of the actual Strategic Planning Committee, because it's one representative representing all.

COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: As an FYI on the recommendation from the task force, the Commission did include the Director of the Florida Department of Health. And, interestingly, the Advisory Committee, they call out some key points and then they end with a representative of each of the three most critical industries in Duval selected by the chair of the Strategic Commission. So if at the time the builders are really important, then they're going to bring ABC or NEFBA or something. And if the time they're saying we need to encourage logistics or manufacturing, they bring FCMA or something.

COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Sure, I like that.

1	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: Which is pretty
2	interesting.
3	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: And the structure
4	you mentioned in there from the
5	Consolidation Task Force, I hadn't gotten to
6	that part, but that's where I was going, you
7	need someone from the independent
8	authorities, from each one you know,
9	maybe have two representatives from the
10	Mayor, two representatives from City
11	Council, City Council President at the
12	time and then each kind of and then
13	try to do your best to target the industries
14	that the City really is focusing on at the
15	time.
16	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: They even had a
17	really interesting one. On the Commission
18	they recommended one mayor from one of the
19	four subsidiaries, the Beaches and the town
20	of Baldwin. And it says selected by
21	themselves. So they get to all meet before
22	them and choose one.
23	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Would that be
24	another speaker that we would want to have
25	come before us, is the mayors from the

Τ	different
2	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: You know who
3	would be great is Mayor Brown, Elaine Brown,
4	because she's been all through City
5	government and Beaches government.
6	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Okay. Do you
7	think she could also speak to Baldwin?
8	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: I think she could
9	probably speak more to, like, the outlying
10	cities inside of the consolidated
11	government. She's kind of hard to
12	understand anyway, but, like, how she would
13	represent the outlying
14	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: What about from
15	the perspective of Neighborhoods, who is
16	speaking on behalf of Neighborhoods?
17	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: You have the
18	office and the director of that, you know,
19	nearby, and at least get a perspective from
20	them. I mean, what's always struck me about
21	the neighborhoods, the I don't know the
22	names of the groups, the CPACs, they each
23	cover such a large area of the city. It's
24	sometimes difficult to get a cohesive, you
25	know, thought, like because they're

1	representing such large areas of the city.
2	But still, it's not going to hurt to get a
3	principal with each of the CPACs here. I
4	mean, that might work.
5	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: They have to have
6	representation, either a commission or
7	advisory.
8	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: There are six of
9	them, and they each have one chair.
10	Jessica, do you know if they have one
11	that represents all of them or are they
12	still representing each part of the city
13	individually?
14	MS. MATTHEWS: Individually, because I
15	receive the reports individually.
16	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Okay.
17	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: I would like to
18	look at the structuring of the CPACs. I
19	tell you, when I was on Planning Commission,
20	they would come to us and they would kind
21	of, you know, give their pitch, but I really
22	didn't understand exactly, besides the fact
23	that they had letterhead and it was CPAC and
24	it was a government-created organization,
25	that it was how different is it from RAP

1	(phonetic) and how different was it from
2	SPAR. And I don't I don't know that
3	only the people in CPAC really understand
4	and maybe a few others that understand
5	really what their purpose is and, you
6	know I feel like it was a group of people
7	that got together and they came up with a
8	great, you know, solution on what they
9	wanted to happen, but whether it was really
10	effective or not.
11	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: So my question
12	to you on that one is, is that an area that
13	we want to try to incorporate into what
14	we're working on or is that something
15	because that comes up in government
16	structure, CPAC is one of the items listed
17	under there. But I understand what you're
18	saying, because I was a liaison for one of
19	those CPACs, and they struggle with
20	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Great idea. I
21	don't know how effective they are. I don't
22	know if what they're trying to do is very
23	effective.
24	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: I agree.
25	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: And so, yeah, I

1	would love to put it in ours.
2	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: So perhaps maybe
3	have them come speak to us from each of
4	the do we want all six of them or do we
5	want
6	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Kind of the same
7	day kind of thing?
8	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Yeah, we invite
9	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Okay. What
10	about from a finance perspective? We talked
11	about how this group would get financed and
12	what would be our recommendation for that.
13	Is there somebody that, again, you think
14	could speak to that? How do we determine
15	the cost of having somebody even
16	including staff, how do we calculate all of
17	that? Who do you think could help with
18	that?
19	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: Former
20	Councilwoman Boyer probably, because I think
21	they had a subcommittee on the task force
22	study that there issue, how they fund it.
23	And I think it was something like they
24	wanted to recommend 250K to fund the staff.
25	And then once they

1	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: For year one.
2	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: they would
3	determine in the first year of the strategio
4	commission what the ongoing if it had
5	been put in the Charter, what the ongoing
6	cost would be of a meeting every ten years
7	or whatever they recommended.
8	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: What about Joey
9	Greive, is that
10	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: I think that's
11	good.
12	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Just say, this is
13	how it works in the how we can put it in
14	there.
15	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: I mean, or
16	perhaps, once we have the structure, we
17	simply talk to current or past budget
18	officers for the City and get their
19	reaction. I mean, it's obviously, it
20	could generate more staffing right now. I
21	guess they're just stretching staff thin to
22	do this. It's not like they hired an
23	additional person for this particular
24	Charter Revision Commission, no one else was
25	hired. In fact, we had people leave, if I

1	recall, that retired during this time.
2	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: I wonder, through
3	the Chair, if we propose something like, if
4	you're going to add a commission, then you
5	take one away; or if you're going to add a
6	commission, then you slow down another
7	maybe CRC every 20 years, once a generation,
8	and Strategic Planning meets every 5 or 10.
9	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: That's going to
10	hit hard.
11	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: You would be
12	very popular among the staff.
13	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: If you're going
14	to add a regulation, take a regulation away
15	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Madam Chairman,
16	that is an interesting concept, because if
17	you have a regular meeting Strategic
18	Planning Commission, perhaps maybe a Charter
19	Revision Commission could meet with somewhat
20	less frequency. Although, every ten years
21	isn't exactly frequent.
22	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: It becomes like a
23	constitutional convention, it only meets to
24	change something specifically in the Charter
25	or for consolidation for the purposes of

1	adjusting for where the City is going.
2	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: It's interesting,
3	though. I think it will be interesting,
4	too, to see what the Chair does with
5	meaning Lindsey does with his area,
6	because he wanted to take a look at this
7	Commission. And when you think about the
8	Commission and the effect this Commission
9	can have because it's a lot of work if it
10	doesn't have any effect. That's not all bad
11	sometimes when something doesn't, but it
12	still is.
13	And then, of course, I was already on
14	this Commission before I learned and I
15	actually knew this on some other level, that
16	the state legislature, because they did it
17	with consolidation, they just can walk in
18	and implement something that we can
19	recommend for Charter. So it's a little
20	frustrating, and I hope some of that gets
21	resolved. But, in the meantime, that is
22	just not a bad concept at all, I don't
23	think.
24	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Okay. So let me
25	throw one more question at you. What

1 about -- what exactly do we want the 2 Strategic Planning Commission to do? is the desired outcome that we're asking 3 them to focus on, whether it's once every 4 5 five years, once every ten years, what is the task that we're asking them to come up 6 7 with? Is there a report? Would it be a 8 presentation? Would it be recommendations? 9 Would it be something that would be adopted? 10 What is the desired outcome that we're 11 asking? 12 COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: It's interesting. 13 One of the best practices in managing a 14 large corporation or a corporation with a 15 bunch of subsidiaries is to establish a 16 strategic plan. You know, and that plan, 17 generally -- like Commissioner Gentry 18 mentioned on a couple of occasions -- is 19 tied to an annual budget process. And that 20 plan generally sets out a three-to-five-year 21 roadmap of what is the vision of this 2.2 organization, what is the strategy to get 23 there and what are the key strategic 2.4 priorities in order to implement that

strategy.

25

So every year that five-year look gets rebuilt. And the budget gets tied into why are we spending any money on this effort if it's not directly affecting one of the strategic priorities. Or why are we structuring this whole initiative if it's not in line with the mission of the company or the vision of the company.

2.2

And the way I've read previous attempts to set up a Strategic Planning Commission for the city, it's an effort to some degree that is a government parallel of that kind of corporate model.

So if you read the Blueprint, they note Charlotte, for example, you know, had a coordinated attempt to try to establish itself 20 years ago as the second leading banking center on the east coast kind of thing. And, obviously, it was very successful. And that probably took a citywide strategic planning process, because the builders had to be involved, you had to make it interesting for kind of higher income bankers to want to relocate into that area. The environmental group had to be

involved because you needed to make the city
attractive to both families and businesses.

2.2

So it's kind of an effort like that, you know, how do you -- in my mind. What is the City's vision? Are we going to be the logistic center of the east coast of the United States and the Midwest, frankly, because everything that comes in here gets there guicker?

And if that's the -- this is
hypothetical. If that's the vision, you
know, within the next five to ten years,
what are our key strategic priorities in
order to get there? Make sure we have the
cleanest river in the world and the best
access to water and environmental; make sure
we reduce crime below this level; make sure
we have a top performing educational system
to attract businesses, families; and make
quality of life better in Northeast Florida.
And what are your strategic priorities on
that?

So every year when an independent authority or a member of the Strategic Planning Commission is presenting their

plan, they're saying, here is how it ties into that priority, here's how it tied into that mission and that vision.

2.2

outcome is to establish the priorities.

That's really all we want them to do. And then each member would then align to that priority however their organization aligns.

COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: So maybe the

COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: Exactly. Revisit the vision, make sure it's still valid, you know, because what if Baltimore has emerged as the logistics center and Jacksonville no longer has a chance, and where is this city going to go. Revisit the strategies, and then each member of that commission aligns its own priorities with the -- or its own efforts with the citywide strategic priorities, I would agree.

COMMISSIONER LISSKA: And I also agree that it should be tied in with the City budget, because I've run agencies and organizations, nonprofit corporations, that do have a strategic plan and don't have a strategic plan. And when you don't have a strategic plan, it becomes your budget; that

is your strategic plan.

2.2

And so I think there needs to be likely some sort of statement that, you know, your strategic plan is tied in some way to the budget process.

COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Okay. This has all been really great conversation. I think we've got several dates that I've already -- with speakers, and I'll try to coordinate that through Ms. Matthews' office as well.

But one last question, I guess, as well:

So do we want to also bring in somebody -and would this be at the beginning or the
end -- somebody that is more of a consultant
and maybe from a business perspective to say
this is what elements should go into making
this recommendation or this is what elements
should be included in the strategic plan,
did you make sure that you included all of
this? Would that be helpful to our group;
and if so, do you have any recommendations
of who might be a good person to guide us
through that process?

COMMISSIONER HAGAN: I think it's a good

1 idea. I would bring them in at the end and 2 say -- that's kind of how I do things, I just kind of throw ideas out there and 3 hopefully you can gather them all together 4 5 and put them all together. But I think that's kind of what we're doing now, and it 6 helps us to then really submit a valid 7 8 recommendation to the group. And so, you 9 know, it's a lot easier to understand, you 10 know, a clear message that we've just had 50 11 meetings and this is what we've come up -- I 12 don't know if we're going to have 50. But 13 we had this many meetings and this is what 14 we've come up with. 15 COMMISSIONER LISSKA: And there was a 16 2025 plan, as I recall, by JCCI before they 17 closed. I don't remember what they had in 18 the plan. I remember feeling very 19 frustrated by it because 2022 is when our 20 City turns 200, I wanted them to change it. 21 But, hey, at this point 2022 is pretty 2.2 close. 23 So I think we should probably take a 24 look at that 2025 plan just to see what 25 elements. Doesn't mean it's something that

1	would be added to a Charter statement, but
2	it might be an interesting look. A lot of
3	people did a lot of work to get to that
4	point. And maybe and how they
5	constructed it, if nothing else, maybe
6	not just the construction of it since you
7	were asking about construction and what
8	should we include.
9	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Sure.
10	So maybe, Ms. Matthews, we can see if
11	we if that document exists still.
12	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: I think the
13	library, I know, has it, the Jacksonville
14	Historical Society should have it.
15	MS. MATTHEWS: The JCC?
16	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: It's the JCCI
17	study, and it probably has the words 2025
18	vision.
19	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Was it '25 or
20	was it 2020.
21	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: I could swear it
22	was 2025, but I could be wrong, though. I'm
23	wrong a lot.
24	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Time continues
25	to move forward. So it's closer than we

1	thought.
2	Do you think anybody that was involved
3	in that might be able to come speak to us?
4	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Good point. Their
5	names will all be in that study.
6	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: Great idea.
7	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Yeah, that's
8	good.
9	All right. So what I'm thinking for
10	next steps then is going to be that we will
11	organize all these speakers. We brought a
12	lot of different topics up. I think we
13	organize it by topics so that we can focus.
14	So maybe one day health care, one day with
15	the CPACs, one day with some of our
16	government agencies.
17	And then my hope, we've got what was
18	the date that we had? You said
19	February 28th? I know this month we're
20	scheduled to meet every Friday from 11:30 to
21	1:30. December, I think we'll look at
22	Thursdays again. Maybe at our fourth
23	meeting we can look at dates again for
24	December and see where we stand on there.
25	My hope is to maybe start ironing things

1 out by January, bring in the -- if we're 2 going to bring in a consent, bring them in 3 by January so we can have them done by February. 4 5 And if we need more time in between, we can certainly -- I know that our Chairman 6 7 wanted us to meet on a weekly basis, but if 8 there is more work we need to do outside of 9 here, then maybe we meet every two weeks 10 instead of every week. But I think we can 11 iron that out once we begin moving through. 12 From the number of speakers, it looks like 13 we're probably going to be hearing from them 14 through November and December anyway. So 15 our recommendations would come in January. 16 Is there anything else that you think 17 that we haven't discussed today, any other 18 groups that we need to involve? I didn't 19 see anything in here, and I don't know from 20 the Blueprint if there was anybody from any 21 type of social services or crime -- you had 2.2 mentioned crime at the beginning. 23 COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: Well, I mean --24 (Inaudible crosstalk.) 25 COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: -- part of the

1	structure
2	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: Without a doubt I
3	would put the Sheriff's Office as a standing
4	member of any Strategic Planning Commission,
5	for sure.
6	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Do we need
7	anybody from mental health rather than just
8	health? With our homeless population, that
9	seems to be a big part of it.
10	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Huge part of it.
11	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Are there any
12	recommendations there? Or like I said
13	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Jeff had a
14	recommendation.
15	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Oh, please,
16	Mr. Clements.
17	MR. CLEMENTS: Not a specific
18	recommendation on that, but I think what
19	you're coming up against is I sat through
20	all of those consolidation task force
21	meetings. They talked for several hours
22	about the strategic plan, and the committee,
23	and who should be on it. And people threw
24	out names, and industries, and organizations
25	that ought to be on it. And when they got

1 up to about 60 or 70 that ought to be -- the 2 universities ought to be on there, and the 3 hospitals, and this, you know, United Way ought to be on there, and the Urban League 4 5 ought to be on there. When they got to 50 6 or 60, they said, you know what, cut it 7 back, cut it back, cut it back. 8 And then they debated long and hard over 9 who gets bumped out. Well, you can't bump 10 them out because they represent this 11 community. Well, we can't have them all. 12 And so they debated long and hard to 13 come up with that list that you're looking 14 at. And the longer they thought, the more 15 people they kept thinking of that really ought to be on there because they bring a 16 17 great perspective. But, boy, you just can't 18 have a 70-member committee and get anywhere. 19 COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: No. That's a 20 good point. 21 COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you. 2.2 is a very valid point. 23 So maybe for our next meeting part of 24 what we can do is, while we'll try to get

some speakers lined up for next week, but

25

part of what we can do is start your list of 1 who you think should be on the actual 2 3 committee and who you think might belong in an advisory committee. We can take those 4 5 lists, start to consolidate them, see if 6 they make sense, and then start dwindling 7 down the list, keeping in mind what 8 Mr. Clements has shared with us, because 9 that's very valid. So I think if we can 10 each come up with at least that, that will 11 get us started in a direction.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

The other thing that I think we should look at is kind of some of the other specifics as well. So how often should this -- should they meet. Actually, let me make notes for myself so that I don't forget as well. So how often they should meet and who should be involved.

I think if we can begin with some of those pieces for the next meeting, then we'll start to consolidate our answers, that will at least get us started. We'll have some speakers and we can get started with that.

Are there any other recommendations that

1	you all think that we should focus on?
2	I'm going to try to get us out of here
3	by 1:00.
4	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: I just don't want
5	us to forget the waterways and city water
6	and sewer amenities. I'd like us to spend
7	some time on those, as well.
8	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: So for the
9	waterways, we had Lori Boyer.
10	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: And Lisa and Quint
11	White.
12	MS. MATTHEWS: Dr. White, that's who
13	you're talking about?
14	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Yes, ma'am.
15	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Okay. Perfect.
16	COMMISSIONER HAGAN: Deryle Calhoun is
17	JEA, that's waterways water.
18	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Okay. All
19	right. So I think maybe for next week we
20	just try to get that piece done. I will try
21	to organize some thoughts over the weekend.
22	And we will reconvene, Ms. Matthews and I
23	will reconvene Monday and start making a
24	list of run that by you guys as well so
25	we're all in agreement as to who wants to

Τ	speak to us and for what purpose.
2	I think, again, the challenge for us is
3	going to be to make sure that we stay to
4	structure and not into the details of what
5	they're actually planning, which, I think,
6	for most of us, is probably more fun.
7	So I thank you. Enjoy the weekend. And
8	I guess there is no public comments. Was
9	there any comments of Ms. Matthews or
10	Mr. Clements, anything else you would like
11	to add?
12	Okay. Meeting is adjourned.
13	(Meeting adjourned at 12:49 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA
3	COUNTY OF DUVAL
4	I, Amanda E. Robinson, Registered
5	Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that I
6	was authorized to and did report the foregoing
7	proceedings; and that the transcript, pages 1
8	through 71, is a true record of my stenographic
9	notes.
10	
11	DATED this 17th day of November, 2019.
12	
13	
14	Officerte
15	Amanda E. Robinson,
16	Registered Professional Reporter
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	